## A Modular Reaction Pairing Approach to the Diversity-Oriented Synthesis of Fused- and Bridged-Polycyclic Sultams

## 2011 Vol. 13, No. 19 5148–5151

ORGANIC **LETTERS** 

Thiwanka B. Samarakoon,† Joanna K. Loh,† Alan Rolfe,† Lisa S. Le,† Sun Young Yoon,<sup>†</sup> Gerald H. Lushington,<sup>‡</sup> and Paul. R. Hanson\*<sup>,†</sup>

Department of Chemistry, University of Kansas, 1251 Wescoe Hall Drive, Lawrence, Kansas 66045, United States, and The University of Kansas Center for Chemical Methodologies and Library Development (KU-CMLD), 2034 Becker Drive, Delbert M. Shankel Structural Biology Center, Lawrence, Kansas 66047, United States

phanson@ku.edu

## Received July 27, 2011



A reaction pairing strategy centered on utilization of a reaction triad (sulfonylation, S<sub>N</sub>Ar addition and Mitsunobu alkylation) generating skeletally diverse, tricyclic and bicyclic benzofused sultams is reported. Pairing sulfonylation and S<sub>N</sub>Ar reactions yields bridged, tricyclic and bicyclic benzofused sultams. Application of the Mitsunobu reaction in a sulfonylation-Mitsunobu-S<sub>N</sub>Ar pairing allows access to benzthiazocine-1,1-dioxides, while a simple change in the order of pairing to sulfonylation $-S<sub>N</sub>Ar-Mitsunobu$  affords structurally different, bridged tricyclic benzofused sultams.

The development of new approaches to access diverse heterocycle collections for high throughput screening (HTS) is an important aspect in modern drug discovery. Diversity-oriented synthesis (DOS) has emerged in recent years as an enabling strategy for the production of diverse collections of heterocycles.<sup>1</sup> Key examples of DOS strategies include functional group pairing and build-couplepair  $(BCP)$ .<sup>2</sup> We herein report a reaction pairing strategy utilizing the ability of ο-fluorobenzene sulfonamides to undergo nucleophilic aromatic substitution  $(S_N A r)$  for the facile generation of benzofused sultam scaffolds.

Sultams (cyclic sulfonamides) represent a class of nonnatural chemotypes that have gained prominence in recent years due to their activity against a wide spectrum of biological targets.<sup>3,4</sup> Long-standing interest in the facile generation of sultam scaffolds has prompted the exploration of a reaction pairing strategy described herein. o-Halobenzene-sulfonyl chlorides and their corresponding sulfonamides have emerged as highly versatile synthons for

<sup>†</sup> Department of Chemistry, University of Kansas.

<sup>‡</sup> The University of Kansas Center for Chemical Methodologies and Library Development.

<sup>(1) (</sup>a) Schreiber, S. L. Science 2000, 287, 1964–1969. (b) Burke, M. D.; Berger, E. M.; Schreiber, S. L. Science 2003, 302, 613–618. (c) Spring, D. R. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2003, 1, 3867–3870. (d) Tan, D. S. Nat. Chem. Biol. 2005, 1, 74–84. (e) Schreiber, S. L. Nature 2009, 457, 153– 154. (e) Galloway, W. R. J. D.; Isidro-Llober, A.; Spring, D. R. Nat. Commun. 2010, 1, 80.

<sup>(2) (</sup>a) Comer, E.; Rohan, E.; Deng, L.; Porco, J. A. Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 2123–2126. (b) Nielsen, T. E.; Schreiber, S. L. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 48–56. (c) Uchida, T.; Rodriquez, M.; Schreiber, S. L. Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 1559–1562. (d) Luo, T.; Schreiber, S. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 5667–5674. (e) Marcaurelle, L, A.; Comer, E.; Dandapani, S.; Duvall, J. R.; Gerard, B.; Kesavan, S.; Lee, M. D., IV; Liu, H.; Lowe, J. T.; Marie, J.-C.; Mulrooney, C. A.; Pandya, B. A.; Rowley, A.; Ryba, T. D.; Suh, B.-C.; Wei, J.; Young, D. W.; Akella, L. B.; Ross, N. T.; Zhang, Y.-L.; Fass, D. M.; Reis, S. A.; Zhao, W.-N.; Haggarty, S. J.; Palmer, M.; Foley, M. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 16962–16976. (f) Gerard, B.; Duvall, J. R.; Lowe, J. T.; Murillo, T.; Wei, J.; Akella, L. B.; Marcaurelle, L. A. ACS Comb. Sci. 2011, 13, 365–374.



Figure 1. Reaction pairing strategies to diverse benzofused sultams.

the generation of sultam scaffolds.<sup>5</sup> While sulfonylation and Mitsunobu alkyaltion are well precedented for sulfonamides,<sup>6</sup> the ability of these synthons to undergo facile nucleophilic aromatic substitution  $(S_N A r)$  is lesser known.<sup>2f,5</sup> Collectively, it was therefore envisioned that pairing of the reaction triad (sulfonylation,  $S_N$ Ar addition and Mitsunobu alkylation) in varying order alongside the central o-fluorobenzenesulfonyl chloride building blocks could afford rapid access to both bridged- and fusedtricyclic sultams. This simple approach obviates the need for construction of elaborate multifunctional scaffolds and would merely require *o*-fluorobenzenesulfonyl chlorides, amines and alcohols as building blocks. Simple changes in the reaction pair sequence (e.g., sulfonylation $-S<sub>N</sub>Ar$  vs sulfonylation-Mitsunobu- $S_N$ Ar) or changes in building blocks (1,2-amino alcohol vs 1,3-amino alcohol) allows access to skeletal and stereochemical diversity (Figure 1).

Investigations commenced with the exploration of pairing (S)-prolinol with 4-bromo-2-fluorobenzenesulfonyl chloride via a combination of sulfonylation,  $S<sub>N</sub>Ar$  and Mitsunobu methodologies (Scheme 1). Thus, (S)-prolinol was sulfonylated with 4-bromo-2-fluorobenzenesulfonyl chloride in  $CH_2Cl_2/H_2O$ , in the presence of NaHCO<sub>3</sub> to provide the β-hydroxy  $o$ -fluorobenzene sulfonamide 1 in 97% yield. Subjection of the sulfonamide to microwave (*mW*) irradiation at 150 °C for 30 min in DMF in the presence of  $Cs<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>$  gratifyingly produced the benzofused **Scheme 1.** Reaction Pairing Utilizing Sulfonylation $-S<sub>N</sub>Ar$  with Amino Alcohols<sup>a</sup>



<sup>a</sup> Sulfonylation: NaHCO<sub>3</sub>, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>O, rt. S<sub>N</sub>Ar: Cs<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, DMF, 140 °C,  $m\text{W}$ . Mitsunobu: PPh<sub>3</sub>, DIAD, THF, rt.

tricyclic sultam  $2$  in 88% yield. In contrast,  $S_N$ Ar addition of  $(S)$ -prolinol to *n*-butyl-derived *o*-fluorobenzene sulfonamide 3 under  $mW$  irradiation in DMSO at 140 °C for 30 min afforded the desired  $S_N$ Ar adduct 4 in 97% yield. Addition of  $PPh<sub>3</sub>$  to a stirring solution of the prolinolderived  $S_N$ Ar adduct in THF (0.05 M), followed by slow addition of DIAD, was found to proceed quickly (10 min) to furnish the desired tricyclic benzothiadiazepine-1,1-dioxide 5 in 91% yield. Overall, this approach rapidly furnishes different sultam skeletons implementing a single sulfonyl chloride in conjunction with an amino alcohol by merely changing the order of reaction pairing.

With these results in hand, the utilization of this reaction pairing strategy for the generation of benzofused sultams was explored. Thus, the use of  $(S)$ -prolinol alongside propargylamine derived o-fluorobenzene sulfonamides in the established  $S<sub>N</sub>Ar-Mitsunobu pairing afforded the$ desired tricycylic sultam 6 in good yield (Scheme 2). A simple switch in the amino alcohol component to  $(R)-(+)$ -3-hydroxypyrrolidine gratifyingly afforded the corresponding bridged, tricyclic benzofused sultams 7 and 8 in good to moderate yield. Of notable importance is the facile production of the unique bridged tricyclic sultam 7 containing a bridgehead nitrogen connected to an  $SO<sub>2</sub>$  moiety. It is proposed that this "bridged sultam", like corresponding twisted amides, causes a deviation in the geometry of the sulfonamide group leading to proposed hybridization and geometry changes at nitrogen, ultimately affecting physical properties.<sup>7,8</sup>

<sup>(3) (</sup>a) Drews, J. Science 2000, 287, 1960–1964. (b) Scozzafava, A.; Owa, T.; Mastrolorenzo, A.; Supuran, C. T. Curr. Med. Chem. 2003, 10, 925–953.

<sup>(4)</sup> For an extensive list of biologically active sultams, see: (a) Jimenez-Hopkins, M; Hanson, P. R. Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 2223–2226. (b) Rolfe, A; Young, K.; Volp, K.; Hanson, P. R. J. Comb. Chem. 2009, 11, 732–738. (c) Jeon, K.; Rayabarappu, D. R.; Rolfe, A.; Hanson, P. R. Tetrahedron 2009, 65, 4992–5000.

<sup>(5) (</sup>a) Rolfe, A.; Samarakoon, T. B.; Klimberg, S., V.; Brzozowski, M.; Neuenswander, B.; Lushington, G. H.; Hanson, P. R. J. Comb. Chem. 2010, 12, 850–854. (b) Ullah, F.; Samarakoon, T. B.; Rolfe, A.; Hanson, P. R.; Organ, M. G. Chem.-Eur. J. 2010, 16, 10959-10962. (c) Samarakoon, T. B.; Hur, M. Y.; Kurtz, R. D.; Hanson., P. R. Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 2182–2185. (d) Rolfe, A.; Smarakoon, T. B.; Hanson, P. R. Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 1216–1219. (e) Rayabarapu, D. K.; Zhou, A.; Jeon, K.-O.; Samarakoon, T. B.; Rolfe, A.; Siddiqui, H.; Hanson, P. R. Tetrahedron 2009, 65, 3180–3188.

<sup>(6) (</sup>a) N. E. Golantsov, N. E.; Karchava, A. V.; Yurovskaya, M. A. Chem. Heterocycl. Compd. 2008, 44, 263–294. (b) Suzuki, M.; Kambe, M.; Tokuyama, H.; Fukuyama, T. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2002, 41, 4686–4688. (c) Rujirawanich, J.; Gallagher, T. Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 5494– 5496.

<sup>(7)</sup> Review: (a) Paquette, L. A. Chemtracts-Org. Chem. 2006, 19, 1– 10. (b) Dura, R. D.; Modolo, I.; Paquette, L. A. J. Org. Chem. 2009, 74, 1982–1987. (c) Dura, R. D.; Modolo, I.; Paquette, L. A. Heterocycles 2007, 74, 145–148. (d) Paquette, L. A.; Dura, R. D.; Fosnaugh, N.; Stepanian, M. J. Org. Chem. 2006, 71, 8438–8445. (e) Preston, A. J.; Gallucci, J. C.; Paquette, L. A. J. Org. Chem. 2006, 71, 6573–6578. (f) Dura, R. D.; Paquette, L. A. J. Org. Chem. 2006, 71, 2456–2459. (g) Paquette, L. A.; Barton, W. R. S.; Gallucci, J. C. Org. Lett. 2004, 6, 1313–1315. (h) Rassadin, V. A.; Grosheva, D. S.; Tomashevskiy, A. A.; Sokolov, V. V.; Yufit, D. S.; Kozhushkov, S. I.; de Meijere, A. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2010, 3481–3486.

<sup>(8)</sup> For reviews of twisted amides, see: (a) Greenberg, A.; Breneman, C. M.; Liebman, J. F. Amide Linkage: Selected Structural Aspects in Chemistry, Biochemistry, and Materials Science; Wiley: New York, 2000. (b) Greenberg, A. Mol. Struct. Energ. 1988, 7, 139–178. (c) Hall, H. K.; Elshekeil, A. Chem. Rev. 1983, 83, 549–555.

Scheme 2. Reaction Pairing Strategy to Access Skeletally Diverse Sultams with an Array of Amino Alcohols<sup>a</sup>



"Sulfonylation: R<sup>1</sup>NH<sub>2</sub> [Compounds **6** and **8**, (R<sup>1</sup> = Propargyl) **10** and **12** (R<sup>1</sup> = "Bu)], NaHCO<sub>3</sub>, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>O, rt. S<sub>N</sub>Ar: Cs<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, DMF, 140 °C,  $mW$ . Mitsunobu: PPh<sub>3</sub>, DIAD, THF, rt.

It has been reported that twisted amides (anti-Bredt amides) possess a distorted amide bond, which dramatically affect stability and reactivity in comparison to their standard planar amides while increasing the basicity of the N atom (or bridgehead  $N$ ).<sup>9</sup>

Building on these results, utilization of 2-piperidinemethanol in the established reaction pairing protocol generated the corresponding benzofused tricyclic sultams 9 and 10 in good yield (Scheme 2). In contrast, use of 3-hydroxypiperidine allowed for the synthesis of the bridged benzofused sultams 11 and 12 in satisfactory yields (Figure 1), with 11 (see X-ray, Supporting Information) possessing similar bridged sultam structural characteristics as 7. Overall, this reaction pairing sequence allowed for the rapid generation of a skeletally and stereochemically diverse collection of benzofused sultams by simple variation of the amine component.

Alternatively, it was envisioned that utilization of monoprotected diols, alongside o-fluorobenzene sulfonamides in a Mitsuonobu alkylation-intramolecular  $S<sub>N</sub>Ar$  O-arylation would allow access to oxygen-containing benzofused sultams  $14a-c$  (Scheme 3). Accordingly, 3-silyloxy-1-propanol was subjected to Mitsunobu alkylation with *n*-butyl-derived  $o$ fluorobenzene sulfonamide to furnish the  $3^{\circ}$  sulfonamide  $3$  in 97% yield. It was envisioned that deprotection of the TBS group under basic conditions would allow for an intramolecular  $S<sub>N</sub>Ar$  cyclization to take place. Accordingly, a THF solution of 13a was stirred in the presence of TBAF for 30 min under  $mW$  irradiation at 150 °C to gratifyingly afford the desired sultam 14a in 88% yield. Application of the **Scheme 3.** Mitsunobu-Intramolecular  $S_N$ Ar Strategy to Benzothiazocine-1,6-Dioxides<sup>a</sup>



<sup>*a*</sup> i. Sulfonylation: NaHCO<sub>3</sub>, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>O. ii. Mitsunobu: PPh<sub>3</sub>, DIAD, THF, rt. iii. Deprotection-S<sub>N</sub>Ar cyclization: TBAF, THF, 150 °C,  $mW$ .

enantiomers  $(R)$ - and  $(S)$ -3- $((tert$ -butyldimethylsilyl $)$ oxy) butan-1-ol in the above Mitsunobu $-S<sub>N</sub>Ar$  pairing sequence was again found to cleanly furnish the corresponding benzothiazocine-1,1-dioxides 14b and 14c in good yields (Scheme 3). Overall, change in the order of the pairing of the  $S_N$ Ar reaction with Mitsunobu alkylation to sulfonylation-Mitsunobu- $S<sub>N</sub>Ar$  allows for facile access to skeletally, as well stereochemically, diverse benzofused sultams.

Utilization of a  $[3 + 2]$  Huisgen cycloaddition reaction for the production of triazole-containing sultams was next explored. Hemming and co-workers have reported the

<sup>(9) (</sup>a) Szostak, M.; Yao, L.; Day, V. W.; Powell, D. R.; Aube, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 8836–8837. (b) Szostak, M.; Yao, L.; Aube, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 2078–2084. (c) Szostak, M.; Aube J. Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 3878–3881. (d) Tani, K.; Stoltz, B.M. Nature 2006, 441, 731–734. (c) Lei, Y.; Wrobleski, A. D.; Golden, J. E.; Powell, D. R.; Aube, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 4552–4553. (h) Greenberg, A.; Venanzi, C. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1993, 115, 6951–6957.

<sup>(10)</sup> Chalmers, C. S.; Patel, N.; Hemming, K. Tetrahedron Lett. 2010, 51, 4859–4861.

**Scheme 4.** Mitsunobu $-S<sub>N</sub>Ar-[3 + 2]$  Cycloaddition RP Approach to Benzothiadiazepine-6,6-Dioxides<sup> $a$ </sup>



elegent use of a one pot, tandem alkynylation- $[3 + 2]$ cycloaddition approach to triazolosultams, $^{10}$  while a recent report by Yao and co-workers outline the utilization of a Cu-catalyzed tandem  $[3 + 2]$  dipolar cycloaddition-Narylation approach to these motifs utilizing o-bromo and oiodobenzenesulfonamides. $11,12$  However, there are no reports of the use of nonmetal catalyzed,  $S_NAr-[3 + 2]$ Huisgen cycloaddition for the generation of benzofused sultams. Thus, a sulfonylation—Mitsunobu protocol using propargyl alcohol and o-fluorobenzene sulfonamide as the Mitsunobu partners produced the desired propargylated *o*fluorobenzene sulfonamides  $16a-d$  in excellent overall yield (Scheme 4). Azidation of sulfonamides  $16a-d$  was carried out using NaN<sub>3</sub> in DMF at 90  $^{\circ}$ C in the presence of 18crown-6 (1 equiv.) for 12 h to afford the tricyclic triazolecontaining sultams  $17a-d$ , which had participated in an intramolecular  $[3 + 2]$  Huisgen cycloaddition ring closure following intermolecular  $S_N$ Ar azidation. To the best of our knowledge, this represents the first report of a one-pot tandem  $S_N$ Ar-intramolecular [3 + 2] Huisgen cycloaddition for the synthesis of benzofused sultams.<sup>13,14</sup> Overall, the sulfonylation—Mitsunobu— $S_N$ Ar protocol is augmented by pairing with an intramolecular  $[3 + 2]$  cycloaddition protocol for the synthesis of triazol-containing benzofused sultams in 3 steps.

From chemical informatics analysis, utilizing a multifusion similarity (MFS) analysis, <sup>15</sup> it is apparent that sultams  $2-12$ ,  $14a-c$  and  $17a-d$  (red) are fairly unique relative to the manifold of currently available analogs, and that there is a reasonable amount of structural diversity present.<sup>16</sup> Analysis was



Figure 2. Diversity distribution of sultams  $2-12$ ,  $14a-c$  and 17a-d, (red spheres) relative to analogous MLPCN compounds (blue) within a chemical space defined by BCUT polarizability metrics (x-axis reports values of an AM1 polarizability metric scaled by molecular bond-order profile; y-axis reports an AM1 polarizability metric scaled by an inverse topological distance profile).

conducted against all 1198 compounds (blue) currently present in the NIH Molecular Libraries Probe Production Center Network (MLPCN) that contained the maximum common substructure (4-bromo-N-propylbenzenesulfonamide) evident within our own (Figure 2).

In conclusion, we have developed a reaction pairing strategy employing a reaction triad-sulfonylation, Mitsunobu,  $S_N$ Ar for the rapid synthesis of a diverse collection of benzofused sultams. Simple changes to the order of the pairing sequence and/or building blocks, allows for access to skeletal and stereochemical diversity. Overall, this strategy affords a diverse set of heterocycles in  $2-3$  steps from commercially available building blocks. These results are highly amenable for library production to generate collections of skeletally diverse sultams for HTS screening.

Acknowledgment. This investigation was generously supported by funds provided by the NIH Center for Chemical Methodologies and Library Development at the University of Kansas (P50 GM069663) and NIGMS Pilot-Scale Libraries Program (NIH P41 GM076302). The authors kindly acknowledge Dr. Victor Day of the Molecular Structure Group (MSG) at the University of Kansas for X-ray analysis. The authors also thank the KU Honors Program (SY, Undergraduate Research Award), the KU Office of the Provost, the KU Office of Research and Graduate Studies, and the KU College of Liberal Arts and Sciences for support of our program.

Supporting Information Available.Experimental details and spectral charactization for all compounds, detailed in-silico analysis and crystal structure CIF files provided. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

<sup>(11)</sup> Barange, D. K.; Tu, V.-C.; Kavala, V.; Kuo, C.-W.; Yao, C.-F. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2011, 353, 41–48.

<sup>(12) (</sup>a) Sai Sudhir, V.; Nasir Baig, R. B.; Chandrasekaran, S. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2008, 14, 2423–2429. (b) Mohapatra, D. K.; Maity, P. K.; Gonnade, R. G.; Chorghade, M. S.; Gurjar, M. K. Synlett 2007, 12, 1893–1896. (c) Brawn, R. A.; Welzel, M.; Lowe, J. T.; Panek, J. S. Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 336-339.

<sup>(13) (</sup>a) Wu, P.; Fokin, V. Aldrichimica Acta 2007, 40, 7–17. (b) Moses, J. E.; Moorhouse, A. D. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2007, 36, 1249–1262. (c) Kolb, H. C.; Sharpless, K. B. Drug Discovery Today 2003, 8, 1128–1137.

<sup>(14)</sup> Looper, R. E.; Pizzirani, D.; Schreiber, S. L. Org. Lett. 2006, 8, 2063–2066.

<sup>(15)</sup> See Supporting Information for detailed description of analysis. (16) (a) Pearlman, R. S.; Smith, K. M. J. Chem. Inf. Comput. Sci. 1999, 39, 28–35 and references therein. (b) DiverseSolutions 8.1; Tripos Inc.: St. Louis, MO, 2009.